

# **DEQ EXHIBIT E**

1 John F. North  
2 Rebecca A. Convery  
3 Montana Department of  
4 Environmental Quality  
5 1520 East Sixth Ave.  
6 Helena, Montana 59601—0901  
7 (406) 444-2018  
8 (406) 444-6347  
9 jnorth@mt.gov  
10 rconvery@mt.gov

11 *Attorneys for Respondent Department of Environmental Quality*

12 **MONTANA BOARD OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW**

13 **IN THE MATTER OF:**

14 **APPEAL AMENDMENT AM4  
15 WESTERN ENERGY COMPANY  
16 ROSEBUD STRIP MINE AREA B,  
17 PERMIT NO. C1984003B**

18 Case No.: BER 2016-03 SM

19 **AFFIDAVIT OF ERIC URBAN IN  
20 SUPPORT OF DEQ'S BRIEF IN  
21 OPPOSITION TO PETITIONERS'  
22 MOTION FOR SUMMARY  
23 JUDGMENT**

24 I, Eric Urban, swear (or affirm) under oath that:

25 1. I am of majority age;

26 2. I graduated from The University of Montana in 2000 with a Bachelor's of Science  
27 in Wildlife Biology, and worked as wildlife biologist for various organizations, including  
the United States Forest Service and Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, for three  
years prior to working for DEQ;

3. I am currently the Bureau Chief for the Water Quality Planning Bureau ("Water  
Quality Bureau") at the Montana Department of Environmental Quality ("DEQ") and have  
been employed in that position for 1.5 years;

4. The Water Quality Bureau is responsible for developing and implementing

Affidavit of Eric Urban

1 Montana's surface and groundwater quality standards; monitoring and assessing surface  
2 water quality conditions in the state; developing total maximum daily load ("TMDL")  
3 restoration plans for Montana surface waters; identifying impaired streams, lakes and  
4 rivers; and managing all data and information systems related to the Montana Water  
5 Quality Act;

6  
7 5. I have been employed by DEQ since 2003, and have held various professional  
8 positions within DEQ, including Section Supervisor of the Water Quality Standards  
9 Program; Technical Coordinator/Wildlife Biologist of the DEQ Coal Section of the  
10 Industrial and Energy Minerals Bureau; Section Supervisor of the Technical Section and  
11 Water/Wastewater Certification Program Section of the Public Water Supply Bureau; and  
12 Water Quality Specialist within the Monitoring and Assessment Program of the Water  
13 Quality Bureau;

14  
15 6. When I worked in the Monitoring and Assessment Program for DEQ, one of my  
16 duties was to develop Water Quality Attainment Records ("Attainment Record(s)") for the  
17 Water Quality Bureau;

18  
19 7. Attainment Records are developed by the DEQ Water Quality Bureau as a  
20 mechanism for determining whether a stream is meeting its designated uses;

21  
22 8. If it is determined from the available water quality data that impairment of a stream  
23 is caused by particular pollutants, then all potential sources of the pollutants located in the  
24 watershed are identified by Water Quality Bureau staff and noted in the Attainment  
25 Record;

26  
27 9. However, the sources of the pollutants are commonly not "confirmed". In other  
words, the term "unconfirmed source" as used in the Attainment Records, is really a

1 “potential source”. It does not mean that it is an actual source of the identified pollutants  
2 that are causing the “impairment”;

3 10. Likewise, the “cause” of the “impairment” is determined based on available water  
4 quality data for a specific parameter such as specific conductance (“SC”), total dissolved  
5 solids (“TDS”) or nitrogen. If a “cause” of impairment is identified with “low confidence”  
6 that generally means that the data used to make that causation determination was either  
7 outdated or insufficient to make a more definitive determination with respect to causation;  
8

9 11. Just because the Attainment Record identifies nitrogen as a “cause” of the  
10 impairment of a particular designated use, it does not mean that nitrogen is “actually”  
11 causing impairment. Nitrogen is a cause with a low level of confidence means that the  
12 assessor had a low level of confidence in the data used to support that determination;  
13

14 12. The Water Quality Bureau uses the information contained in the Attainment  
15 Records to identify streams that require a TMDL to be developed. TMDL’s are developed  
16 by DEQ for streams that are identified as “impaired” for a particular designated use and a  
17 particular pollutant;

18 13. When a TMDL is developed for a particular stream, the actual cause (pollutant)  
19 and source of the impairment is more precisely determined for that segment of stream and  
20 the sources of the pollution and the relative contribution of the source for a given pollutant  
21 is determined at that time;  
22

23 14. TMDL’s have not yet been developed for the upper or lower segment of  
24 EFAC;

25 15. In 2006, my former colleague, Mike Stermitz, developed the Attainment Records  
26 for EFAC, segment MT42K002\_110 (headwaters to Colstrip) (“lower EFAC”) and  
27

1 segment MT42K002\_170 (Colstrip to mouth) ("upper EFAC") (collectively "EFAC  
2 Attainment Records");

3 16. The EFAC Attainment Records have not been updated since 2006, although they  
4 are republished every two years. Accordingly, the information in the 2014 EFAC  
5 Attainment Records was eight years old when they were republished;

6 17. The Attainment Record for lower EFAC, which is a 32.36 mile segment of  
7 EFAC located downstream of mining and north of the town of Colstrip, indicates that this  
8 segment of the stream is "impaired" for the aquatic life designated use;

9 18. SC and TDS are identified with "low confidence" as a cause of the impairment of  
10 aquatic life, and coal mining and transfer of water from an outside watershed are identified  
11 as an "unconfirmed source" of the SC and TDS in the Attainment Record for lower EFAC.  
12 It does not mean that coal mining is the actual source of the SC and TDS. It means that  
13 Mike Stermitz identified coal mining as a potential source of the SC and TDS;

14 19. Historically, Attainment Records, including the Attainment Record for  
15 lower EFAC, group salinity/TDS/chlorides together as a single cause of impairment. This  
16 does not mean that there was an issue with chloride specifically, it simply represented a  
17 category for "salts";

18 20. For example, on page 17 of the Attainment Record for lower EFAC, there is a  
19 statement that says "[s]alinity/TDS/chlorides will remain a cause of impairment." This  
20 statement was made under the 2006 language, which lumps salinity/TDS/chlorides as a  
21 single cause;

22 21. However, on page 20 of the same record, chlorides are not listed as a cause of  
23 impairment. The language used on page 20 identifies causes by individual pollutants.  
24

1 Salinity and TDS are identified separately as causes of impairment, but chlorides are not  
2 identified as a cause of impairment. This language is more precise than the narrative  
3 summary provided on page 17;

4 22. Therefore, the Attainment Record for lower EFAC does not identify chlorides as a  
5 cause of impairment;

6 23. The Attainment Record for upper EFAC, which is 24.67 segment of EFAC that is  
7 located upstream of the town of Colstrip, indicates that the stream is “ephemeral”;  
8

9 24. The process for assessing the health of an ephemeral stream in 2006 was to rely  
10 solely on the condition of the streamside habitat. According to the assessment record Mr.  
11 Stermitz identified mining as an “unconfirmed source” of the “alteration in stream-side or  
12 littoral vegetative covers” that was listed as a “cause” of impairment of aquatic life in  
13 upper EFAC;  
14

15 25. This information was based solely on a personal conversation he had with a mine  
16 employee, who indicated that the mine had cut through the stream channel in one spot.  
17 This information could not be verified through site visits or aerial photographs;

18 26. As explained above, just because “alteration in stream-side or littoral vegetative  
19 covers” is listed as a cause of the impairment with “medium confidence”. The level of  
20 confidence that Mr. Stermitz placed on this decision was listed as “medium,” which was  
21 simply a qualitative indication of his confidence in the data/information used for the  
22 decision. Likewise, just because surface mining is listed as an “unconfirmed source” of the  
23 alteration of stream-side vegetative covers, it does not mean that it is actually the source;  
24

25 27. It should be noted, that no aquatic life survey was done in 2005-2006 for upper  
26 EFAC, because this segment of stream is ephemeral and was predominantly dry at the time  
27

1 the Attainment Record was developed. Therefore, it was not feasible to collect water  
2 samples or aquatic life samples at that time. Only habitat could be analyzed as a result;

3 28. Additionally, it should be noted that physical habitat is only one of the factors  
4 typically considered by the Water Quality Bureau in making an impairment determination.  
5 The other two factors that are considered are chemistry and biology;

6 29. In Eastern Montana, the Water Quality Bureau has found that stream habitat and  
7 water chemistry is highly variable, which results in a highly variable biological community  
8 due to the harsh conditions of the natural environment;

9 30. Accordingly, just because an aquatic life survey indicates that a stream segment  
10 contains less than desirable macroinvertebrate communities, that does not mean that the  
11 cause of this condition is man-made and or that the stream is impaired as a result;

12 31. In 2014, the DEQ coal program requested that Intervenor hire a consultant to  
13 conduct an updated aquatic life survey of EFAC;

14 32. Prior to conducting the survey, Intervenor's consultant, Penny Hunter from  
15 Arcadis, consulted with Dave Feldman, former Macroinvertebrate Specialist with the  
16 Water Quality Bureau, who provided Intervenor's consultant with a copy of DEQ's  
17 sampling methodology (WQPBWQM-009 (2012)) for how to collect macroinvertebrate  
18 samples in different habitats in Montana;

19 33. At the request of DEQ Coal Program staff, Dave Feldman advised Penny Hunter  
20 how to collect samples, but was instructed not to advise her how the sample results could  
21 be used to determine aquatic life health;

22 34. Because of the high variability of the natural system, the DEQ Water Quality  
23 Bureau does not believe that the health of aquatic life in eastern Montana streams can be  
24

1 determined by the composition of a macroinvertebrate sample alone;

2 35. The 2014 aquatic life survey conducted by Intervenor's consultant was used by  
3 DEQ Coal Program staff to make a material damage determination with respect to the  
4 impact of the proposed operations of AM4 on the beneficial use of aquatic life support. It  
5 was not used by the DEQ Water Quality Bureau staff in making an impairment  
6 determination for aquatic life in EFAC;  
7

8 36. For this reason, Intervenor's consultant was not required to follow DEQ standard  
9 operating procedures ("SOPs") for making stream segment impairment determinations.

10 FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

11 DATED this 20th day of July, 2016.

12  
13 MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF  
14 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

15 By: 

16 ERIC URBAN

Bureau Chief, Water Quality Planning

17  
18 Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me this 20th day of July, 2016, by ERIC  
19 URBAN.

20  
21  
22 (SEAL)

  
NAME

NOTARY PUBLIC for the State of Montana  
Residing in Lewis and Clark County.

My Commission Expires: May 19, 2019

